

# IN THE COMPETITION APPEAL TRIBUNAL

BETWEEN:

#### THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS CLAIMANTS

Claimants

- v -

### THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS DEFENDANTS

<u>Defendants</u>

(the "Second Wave Proceedings")

Case No: 1296 etc

#### **CONSENT ORDER**

**UPON** claim 1368/5/7/20 (T) LafargeHolcim Limited & Others v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ) & Others (the "**LFH Proceedings**") being stayed pursuant to the Order of the President of the Competition Appeal Tribunal made on 9 May 2023 (the "**Stay Order**")

**AND UPON** the Court being informed that the Claimants to the LFH Proceedings (the "Settling Claimants") and the Sixth to Ninth Defendants to the LFH Proceedings (the "Settling Defendants", and together with the Settling Claimants the "Relevant Parties") have agreed confidential terms of settlement, set out in an agreement copies of which are held by the Relevant Parties, and to there being no order for costs

**AND UPON** the parties to this Order recognising that the guidance of the Tribunal in the Tribunal's Ruling on Umbrella Proceedings Cost Sharing Orders, [2024] CAT 12:

(1) The effect of the settlement is that the Settling Claimants cease to have a claim against the Settling Defendants. To the extent that the Tribunal has any costs jurisdiction over the Settling Claimants and/or the Settling Defendants (as to which this Order says nothing), a costs order against one of them in favour of the other may not be a just exercise of the Tribunal's costs discretion.

(2) Whilst the Settling Defendants (if still parties to the Second Wave Proceedings) would be entitled, as appropriate, to seek costs orders against those claimants in the Second Wave Proceedings who have not settled ("Non-Settling Claimants"), the Tribunal will be reluctant to order such Non-Settling Claimants to pay costs that are attributable to the Settling Claimants.

(3) The Tribunal may, accordingly, reduce the costs recoverable by the Settling Defendants (if still parties to the Second Wave Proceedings) against Non-Settling Claimants by an amount referable to the costs incurred prior to the date of the settlement that would (but for the settlement) have been paid by the Settling Claimants.

(4) Whilst the Settling Claimants (if still parties to the Second Wave Proceedings) would be entitled, as appropriate, to seek costs orders against those defendants in the Second Wave Proceedings who have not settled ("Non-Settling Defendants"), the Tribunal will be reluctant to order such Non-Settling Defendants to pay costs that are attributable to the Settling Defendants.

(5) The Tribunal may, accordingly, reduce the costs recoverable by the Settling Claimants (if still parties to the Second Wave Proceedings) against Non-Settling Defendants by an amount referable to the costs incurred prior to the date of the settlement that would (but for the settlement) have been paid by the Settling Defendants.

**AND UPON** reading a draft of this Order endorsed by the solicitors for the Settling Claimants and the solicitors for the Settling Defendants

#### BY CONSENT IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The LFH Proceedings against the Settling Defendants be dismissed.

2. The LFH Proceedings against the First to Fifth Defendants in the LFH Proceedings shall continue (subject to the terms of the Stay Order).

3. There be no order as to costs.

**The Honourable Lord Ericht**Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 26 July 2024

Drawn: 26 July 2024

## **ANNEX 1: The Second Wave Proceedings**

The claimants in each of the proceedings listed below are collectively the "Second Wave Trucks Claimants" and the defendants in each of the proceedings listed below are collectively the "Second Wave Trucks Defendants".

Case Number	Case Name	
Cases in England and Wales		
1296/5/7/18	Arla Foods AMBA & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Another	
1338/5/7/20 (T)	Adnams PLC & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1343/5/7/20 (T)	DS Smith Paper Limited & Others v MAN SE & Others	
1355/5/7/20 (T)	Hertz Autovermietung GmbH & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others	
1356/5/7/20 (T)	Balfour Beatty Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others	
1360/5/7/20 (T)	BFS Group Limited & Another v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1361/5/7/20 (T)	Enterprise Rent-a-Car UK Limited v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1362/5/7/20 (T)	ABF Grain Products Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1358/5/7/20 (T)	Zamenhof Exploitation & Others v Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V. & Others	
1368/5/7/20 (T)	LafargeHolcim Limited & Others v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ) & Others	
1371/5/7/20 (T)	The BOC Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others	
1372/5/7/20 (T)	GIST Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others	
1417/5/7/21 (T)	Dan Ryan Truck Rental Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1420/5/7/21 (T)	A to Z Catering Supplies Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others	
1431/5/7/22 (T)	Adur District Council & Others v TRATON SE & Others	
1521/5/7/22 (T)	Wm Morrison Supermarkets PLC & Others v Volvo Group UK Limited & Others	
1578/5/7/23 (T)	Asda & Others v AB Volvo & Others	
1594/5/7/23 (T)	GAP Group Limited and Another v DAF Trucks Limited and Others	
1616/5/7/23 (T)	The Boots Company plc and others v Traton SE and others	

Case Number	Case Name	
1610/5/7/23 (T)	Rowleys of Northwich Limited and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others	
1607/5/7/23 (T)	Wincanton Holdings Limited and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others	
1609/5/7/23 (T)	SP0117 Limited (as Assignee) and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others	
1608/5/7/23 (T)	Adnams PLC and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others	
1633/5/7/24	Tesco Stores Limited and another v Scania (Great Britain) Limited and others	
Cases in Northern Ireland		
1536/5/7/22 (T)	C Faulkner & Sons v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ)	
18/78144	JH Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited -v- AB Volvo	
20/22730	McHugh's Oil Limited -v- AB Volvo	
18/33243	Niall McCann trading as NMC Haulage -v- AB Volvo	
20/41004	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport -v- AB Volvo	
20/58996	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V.	
20/58997	Gibson Bros Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V.	
20/58985	Joseph Walls Ltd –v- DAF Trucks NV	
20/58980	M.G. Oils Limited-v- DAF Trucks NV	
20/58992	J.K.C. Specialist Cars Limited-v- DAF Trucks NV	
20/58976	G.P. Marketing Limited trading as Patterson Oil –v- DAF Trucks NV	
22/53690	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport –v- DAF Trucks NV	
20/58991	J.H. Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited –v- DAF Trucks NV	
18/33233	Trevor Leckey t/a Stoneyford Concrete –v- DAF Trucks NV	
20/58982	Derek O'Reilly t/a O'Reilly's The Sweet People -v- Daimler AG	
20/58998	Patrick Megoran -v- Daimler AG	
20/58974	Stephen Pollard -v- Daimler AG	
21/05481	John Rodgers Limited -v- Daimler AG	
20/58984	Andrew Ingredients Ltd -v- Daimler AG	
18/78073	Kieran Quinn t/a Pomeroy Haulage -v- Daimler AG	
20/58977	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited -v- Daimler AG	
22/53682	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport -v- Daimler AG	

Case Number	Case Name	
20/58987	R Magowan & Son Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A	
21/48587	C. Russell Auto Sales Ltd -v- Iveco S.P.A	
20/58990	Kennedy & Morrison Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A	
20/58994	Niall McCann t/a NMC Haulage -v- Iveco S.P.A	
21/05466	John Rodgers Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A	
Cases in Scotland		
1538/5/7/22 (T)	Clackmannanshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1539/5/7/22 (T)	Angus Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others	
1540/5/7/22 (T)	East Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1541/5/7/22 (T)	The City of Edinburgh Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd	
1542/5/7/22 (T)	East Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1543/5/7/22 (T)	East Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1544/5/7/22 (T)	Fife Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1545/5/7/22 (T)	Midlothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1546/5/7/22 (T)	Glasgow City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1547/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee City Council v VJ Stockdale and Son Limited v AB Volvo	
1548/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Water v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others	
1549/5/7/22 (T)	West Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1550/5/7/22 (T)	Perth & Kinross Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1551/5/7/22 (T)	Stirling Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others	
1552/5/7/22 (T)	Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1553/5/7/22 (T)	South Ayrshire Council V VFS & Others	
1554/5/7/22 (T)	The North Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1555/5/7/22 (T)	Western Isles Council v VFS Financial Services & Others	
1556/5/7/22 (T)	West Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services	
1557/5/7/22 (T)	North Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd	
1558/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Borders Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1559/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee CC & Others t/a Tayside Contracts v VFS FS Ltd &	
1560/5/7/22 (T)	Aberdeenshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others	
1561/5/7/22 (T)	Argyll and Bute Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1562/5/7/22 (T)	East Renfrewshire Councill v VFS Financial Services Limited	
1563/5/7/22 (T)	South Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited	

Case Number	Case Name
1564/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy (Processing Ltd) v CNH Industrial
1565/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Diary Ltd v CNH Industrial N.V.
1566/5/7/22 (T)	Graham's Dairies Limited v CNH Industrial N.V.