



IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Case Nos: As set out in Annex 1 to this Order

IN THE MATTER OF:

THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS PROCEEDINGS

PARTIES TO THIS ORDER:

- (1) **THE ARLA CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (2) **THE EDWIN COE CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (3) **THE ASDA CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (4) **THE DS SMITH CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (5) **THE ADUR CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (6) **THE BOOTS CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (7) **THE HAUSFELD CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (8) **THE LAFARGEHOLCIM CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (9) **THE BCLP CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (10) **THE MORRISONS CLAIMANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (11) **THE NORTHERN IRISH PLAINTIFFS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (12) **THE SCOTTISH PURSUERS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).
- (13) **THE DEFENDANTS** (as set out in Annex 2 to this Order).

ORDER

UPON the Ruling of the Tribunal on the Future Conduct of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings dated 9 January 2024, in which the Tribunal proposed to direct a date at the end of October 2024 for the filing of Positive Cases on the first issues to be determined, namely overcharge, value of commerce and pass-on

AND UPON the Defendants' applications dated 16 May 2024 and 8 July 2024 (and as amended on 19 July 2024 and 31 July 2024) requesting responses to the Defendants' joint experts' information requests in relation to supply pass-on ("**SPO**") (the "**SPO Applications**") and truck-related services ("**TRS**") value of commerce (the "**TRS Applications**") made of certain Claimants

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a hearing on 9 August 2024 in relation to the SPO Applications and the TRS Applications

AND UPON the SPO documents for each of the Targeted Claimants setting out the Defendants' joint experts' SPO requests, as amended from time to time (the "**SPO Documents**")

AND UPON the SPO documents for each of the Public Authority Targeted Claimants prepared by the Defendants' joint experts, as amended from time to time (the "**Public Authority SPO Documents**")

AND UPON the TRS value of commerce ("**VoC**") documents for each of the Arla, Boots and DS Smith TRS Claimants setting out the Defendants' joint experts' TRS VoC requests, as amended from time to time (the "**TRS Documents**")

AND UPON the Defendants' application dated 4 October 2024 in relation to SPO

AND UPON the Defendants' application dated 4 October 2024 in relation to TRS VoC

AND UPON the Claimants' joint expert on the issue of UK Overcharge requesting responses to his requests of (i) the Scania Defendants on 29 April 2024 and the non-Scania Defendants on 6 September 2024 for a version of Commission Decision C(2017) 6467 of 27 September 2017 relating to Case AT.39824 – Trucks ("**Scania Decision**") in which each Defendant has unredacted its own confidential information, save to the extent that the Tribunal would be prevented from making such a disclosure order in respect of such information by paragraph 28 of Schedule 8A to the Competition Act 1998, (ii) the Scania Defendants on 29 April 2024 for the report entitled "Competitive assessment of alleged Scania price exchanges" drafted by RBB Economics referred in footnote 570 of the Scania Decision ("**Scania Report**"), and (iii) the Scania Defendants on 5 August 2024 in relation to its off-the-shelf disclosure ("**Scania OTS Disclosure Requests**") (together, "**Outstanding Overcharge Requests**")

AND UPON the Application by the active Second Wave Claimants filed on 4 October 2024 in relation to information and data requests (including the Outstanding Overcharge Requests and the disclosure requests set out in the SPO Documents and the TRS Documents) and the timetable to trial

AND UPON the second witness statement of Russell Neil Richard Butland dated 11 October 2024 and the skeleton argument of the Scania Defendants on 17 October 2024 indicating in paragraph 20(d) that the Scania Defendants envisage being able to respond to the Scania OTS Disclosure Requests by 15 November 2024

AND UPON counsel for the Claimants confirming that, in view of the correspondence received from the Scania Defendants on 18 October 2024, no order was sought in respect of the Scania OTS Disclosure Requests at the hearing on 21 October 2024 and 22 October 2024

AND UPON the Tribunal directing at the hearing on 21 October 2024 and 22 October 2024 referred to below that the application in respect of the Scania Report be determined on the papers

AND UPON the skeleton argument of Blakemore, Moy Park and Boots on 15 October 2024 which indicated those Claimants (i) would seek to provide responses to the Defendants' then-agreed supply pass-on requests by 15 November 2024; and (ii) are "*working towards a target of the end of November*" to provide the "Claim Papers" in respect of their claims in relation to truck-related services value of commerce"

AND UPON the skeleton argument of DS Smith on 15 October 2024 which indicated that DS Smith would seek to provide responses to the Defendants' then-agreed SPO and TRS VoC requests "*on a rolling basis as soon as possible, and in any event by 20 December 2024*",

AND UPON the skeleton argument of Sainsbury's, Asda and Currys on 15 October 2024 which indicated those Claimants would seek to provide responses to the Defendants' then-agreed supply pass-on requests by 31 October 2024

AND UPON hearing Counsel for the parties at a hearing on 21 October 2024 and 22 October 2024

AND UPON the Edwin Coe Claimants' application for costs of the hearing of 9 August 2024

AND UPON the Edwin Coe Lessor Claimants’ application for an order that each of the Defendants provide responses to the SPO information request made by Economic Insight Limited (“**Edwin Coe Truck Rental Claimants’ Application**”)

AND UPON the following definitions applying for the purposes of this Order:

- “**Truck Rental Targeted Claimants**” means:
 - “**Salford Van Hire**” means C18 and C19 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T);
 - “**MC Rental**” means C13 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T);
 - “**Alltruck**” means C11 in Case 1417/5/7/21 (T); and
 - “**Rowleys**” means C1 in Case 1610/5/7/23 (T).
- “**Haulier Targeted Claimants**” means:
 - “**Wincanton**” means the Claimants in Case 1607/5/7/23 (T);
 - “**Edwards Transport**” means C11 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T); and
 - “**Harlex Haulage**” means C3 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T).
- “**Manufacturer Targeted Claimants**” means:
 - “**Arla**” means the Claimants in Case 1296/5/7/18;
 - “**CEMEX**” means C74 – 78 and C80 – C82 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - “**The Rugby Group**” means C79 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - “**DS Smith**” means C1, and C3 – C5 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T);
 - “**Blakemore**” means C143 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T); and
 - “**Moy Park**” means C48 – C53 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T).
- “**Retailer Targeted Claimants**” means:
 - “**Sainsbury’s**” means C10 – C14 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T);
 - “**Asda**” means C1 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T);
 - “**Morrisons**” means the Claimants in Case 1521/5/7/22 (T);
 - “**Currys**” means C2 – C5 in Case 1578/5/7/23 (T); and

- **“Boots”** means C1 – C2 in Case 1616/5/7/23 (T).
- **“Other Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“GAP”** means C1 in Case 1594/5/7/23 (T);
 - **“Bywaters”** means C59 in Case 1420/5/7/21 (T);
 - **“GBN”** means C8 in Case 1338/5/7/20 (T);
 - **“DS Smith Logistics”** means C2 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T); and
 - **“DS Smith Recycling”** means C6 in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T).

(together, the **“Targeted Claimants”**).

- **“Adur Public Authority Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Durham”** means C35 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“Lewisham”** means C70 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“East Sussex FA”** means C39 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T);
 - **“North Wales FRA”** means C85 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T); and
 - **“Scottish FRS”** means C107 in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T).
- **“Scottish Public Authority Targeted Claimants”** means:
 - **“Glasgow”** means the Claimant in Case 1546/5/7/22 (T); and
 - **“Renfrewshire”** means the Claimant in Case 1552/5/7/22 (T);

(together, the **“Public Authority Targeted Claimants”**).

- **“Adur TRS Claimants”** means the Claimants in Case 1431/5/7/22 (T).
- **“DS Smith TRS Claimants”** means the Claimants in Case 1343/5/7/20 (T).
- **“Suez and Veolia Information”** means certain materials from Trial 3 of the First Wave Trucks Proceedings which are subject to a draft consent order for them to be disclosed in the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings (as filed with the Tribunal by Fieldfisher LLP on 11 October 2024).

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

SCOPE OF THE TRIAL

1. The issues to be determined at the first trial in the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings, subject to further order, shall be:
 - (a) overcharge in all jurisdictions referenced in the pleadings;
 - (b) pass-on in respect of that overcharge at all levels of the supply chain; and
 - (c) value of commerce.

The issues identified in this paragraph are referred to as the “**Issues**”.

2. For the avoidance of doubt, the Issues shall not include the following:
 - (a) Any claims in relation to additional fuel costs or costs relating to alleged emission standards delays;
 - (b) Any claims in relation to interest as damages including simple interest and compound interest claims (including by way of example, loss of profits, increased borrowing requirements or similar);
 - (c) Any claims in relation to higher insurance, maintenance, or other associated costs;
 - (d) Any claims in relation to tax;
 - (e) Loss of volume; and
 - (f) Limitation issues.

INFORMATION AND DATA REQUESTS

Claimants’ application for disclosure of less redacted Scania Decision and the Scania Report

3. The Claimants’ application for an order requiring each Defendant to provide to the Claimants less redacted versions of the Scania Decision is dismissed.

4. The Claimants' application for an order requiring the Scania Defendants to provide a copy of the Scania Report is dismissed.
5. The Claimants' application in respect of the Scania OTS Disclosure Requests shall, if and in so far as not agreed by the experts or parties, be dealt with at the CMC referred to in paragraph 8 below (without prejudice to paragraph 7 below).

Request Longstop Date

7. Any expert data and information requests in relation to overcharge, pass-on or TRS VoC can be made without the permission of the Tribunal by **4pm on 29 November 2024** (the "**Longstop Date**"). Any such expert data and information requests following the Longstop Date and before Positive Cases can only be made of another party with the permission of the Tribunal.

Case Management Conference

8. **On 9 December 2024 at 10am** there shall be a CMC to review any outstanding issues in relation to expert data and information requests in relation to overcharge, pass-on or TRS VoC. By 4pm on 5 December 2024, the parties shall file submissions for the CMC, together any supporting documentation and a draft composite order setting out all of the orders being sought by all parties.

Supply Pass-on

9. As soon as practicable:
 - (a) the Adur Public Authority Targeted Claimants' expert and the Defendants' joint experts shall complete the Public Authority SPO Documents for each of the Adur Public Authority Targeted Claimants; and
 - (b) the Scottish Public Authority Targeted Claimants and the Defendants and their joint experts shall complete the Public Authority SPO Documents for each of the Scottish Public Authority Targeted Claimants.

10. To the extent that, following the completion of the Public Authority SPO Documents for the Adur Public Authority Targeted Claimants and the Scottish Public Authority Targeted Claimants, there are any disagreed requests, the parties shall refer those requests to the Tribunal for a ruling on the papers, as appropriate. Before any such reference is made the respective experts (or if no expert is instructed, the party) shall meet and attempt to reach agreement or compromise. Any such reference shall set out the experts'/party's reasons for the disagreement.
11. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by no later than **4pm on 17 January 2025**, the Public Authority Targeted Claimants shall provide responses to the SPO requests set out in their respective Public Authority SPO Documents as agreed and/or ordered.
12. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by no later than **4pm on 17 January 2025**, the Targeted Claimants shall provide responses to the SPO requests set out in their respective SPO Documents as agreed and/or ordered.
13. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by no later than **4pm on 17 January 2025**, the Haulier Targeted Claimants and two of the Truck Rental Targeted Claimants (to be agreed between the relevant Claimants' and Defendants' experts) shall provide responses to the SPO requests set out in section 8 of their respective SPO Documents.
14. The Tribunal's decisions on the "disagreed" requests set out in Appendix A are set out in that Appendix.
15. The Edwin Coe Truck Rental Claimants' Application to be adjourned.

Truck-Related Services VoC

16. As soon as reasonably practicable and in any event by no later than **4pm on 17 January 2025**, the Arla, Boots and DS Smith TRS Claimants are to provide responses to the TRS VoC requests set out in their respective TRS Documents as agreed and/or ordered.
17. The Adur TRS Claimants shall, by no later than 28 days from the date of the Adur TRS Claimants' receipt of the Suez and Veolia Information:

- (a) Confirm whether the Adur TRS Claimants intend to rely on the analysis carried out by Punter Southall Analytics (“PSA”) for their case on TRS VoC.
- (b) If the Adur TRS Claimants intend to rely on a different methodological approach to the assessment of their TRS VoC (whether in place of or in addition to the analysis previously produced by PSA), provide an explanation of that methodological approach.
- (c) If, in response to (b) above, the Adur TRS Claimants confirm that they intend to rely on a different methodological approach, the Defendants shall be entitled to request further information in relation to TRS VoC of those Claimants by 20 December 2024, notwithstanding the deadline for making new requests at paragraph 7 above.

Best Available Evidence

- 18. The orders sought by the Defendants for “Best Available Evidence” within the meaning of “Best Available Evidence” as defined by the Defendants are refused.
- 19. In respect of any requests which seek “Best Available Evidence”, In respect of the Truck Rental Targeted Claimants, the Haulier Targeted Claimants, the Other Targeted Claimants (save for DS Smith Recycling and DS Smith Logistics), CEMEX and The Rugby Group, the expert of the relevant Targeted Claimant shall meet with the Defendants’ joint experts to agree what the “Best Available Evidence” for each such request is and why.

CMC

- 20. By **4pm on 28 January 2025**, the parties shall file submissions regarding any outstanding issues in relation to data and information responses, together with a draft composite order setting out all of the orders being sought by all parties. These shall be heard at a CMC to take place **on 30 and 31 January 2025**.

POSITIVE CASES

21. By **4pm on 30 May 2025**, the parties shall file and exchange their positive cases, as described further in the Ruling of the Tribunal on the Future Conduct of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings dated 9 January 2024 (“**Positive Cases**”), in respect of the Issues.
22. By **4pm on 30 May 2025**, the parties shall file and serve all documents, information and / or data upon which they rely in support of their Positive Cases, including:
 - (a) Signed statements of witnesses of fact, and hearsay notices.
 - (b) Signed expert reports in relation to each of the Issues.
23. Unless otherwise ordered, statements of witnesses of fact are to stand as the evidence of the witness in question at trial.

FURTHER DATA REQUESTS

24. A party (the “**Requesting Party**”) may, by no later than **4pm on 27 June 2025**, request from another party (the “**Receiving Party**”) further information concerning Receiving Party's Positive Case, including by way of requests for documents, information and / or data (a “**Further Data Request**”). Further Data Requests must be narrow in nature, must be justified, and must concern matters reasonably necessary for the Requesting Party to understand the Receiving Party's Positive Case and / or to advance the Requesting Party’s Negative Case.
25. By **4pm on 11 July 2025**, any Receiving Party shall, in respect of each Further Data Request, inform the Requesting Party whether it will it provide a substantive response to the Further Data Request and, if so, whether it is able to provide such response by 12 September 2025. If a Receiving Party (i) does not so inform the Requesting Party or (ii) informs the Requesting Party that it will not provide a substantive response and/or that it is not able to provide a substantive response by 12 September 2025, the Requesting Party may apply for a direction that the Receiving Party answer the Further Data

Request. All such applications shall be heard at a Case Management Conference to be listed in the week commencing 21 July 2025.

26. By **4pm on 12 September 2025**, or such other date or dates as the Tribunal orders, the parties shall provide all responses to the Further Data Requests in respect of which they agreed or were ordered to respond, as described in paragraph 25 of this Order.

NEGATIVE CASES

27. By **4pm on 19 December 2025**, the parties shall file and exchange their responsive cases in respect of the other parties' Positive Cases, as described further in the Ruling of the Tribunal on the Future Conduct of the Second Wave Trucks Proceedings dated 9 January 2024 ("**Negative Cases**").
28. The parties shall, by no later than **4pm on 19 December 2025**, provide to the other parties and the Tribunal the documents, information and / or data upon which they rely in support of their Negative Cases, including:
 - (a) Signed statements of witnesses of fact, and hearsay notices.
 - (b) Signed expert reports in relation to each of the Issues.
29. Unless otherwise ordered, statements of witnesses of fact are to stand as the evidence of the witness in question at trial.

EXPERTS

30. By **4pm on 13 March 2026**, the parties' experts on each of the Issues shall meet to discuss their respective reports for the purpose of identifying and further narrowing the issues, if any, remaining between them; and where possible, reaching agreement on those issues.
31. By **4pm on 13 April 2026**, the parties' experts on each of the Issues shall produce and file a joint statement for the Tribunal setting out those matters on which they agree and those matters on which they disagree and a summary of their reasons for disagreeing.

HEARINGS

32. There shall be a Pre-Trial Review (“PTR”) in the week commencing week commencing 1 June 2026, with a time estimate of 1 day. The parties shall agree a draft timetable for the Trial before the PTR for the Tribunal's consideration at the PTR.
33. In relation to the trial of Issues the parties shall file:
- (a) Opening statements for trial by **4pm on 3 July 2026**.
 - (b) Responsive submissions to the opening statements by **4pm on 31 July 2026**.
34. The trial of the Issues shall commence on **21 September 2026**, with a provisional estimate of 12 Commercial Court weeks.

COSTS

35. By **4pm on 20 June 2025**, the Parties shall file and serve any costs applications in relation to the data and information requests.

OTHER

36. Liberty to apply.

The Honourable Lord Ericht
Chair of the Competition Appeal Tribunal

Made: 21 November 2024
Drawn: 21 November 2024

ANNEX 1: CASES INCLUDED IN THE SECOND WAVE TRUCKS PROCEEDINGS

Case Number	Case Name
Cases in England	
1296/5/7/18	Arla Foods AMBA & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Another
1338/5/7/20 (T)	Adnams PLC & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1343/5/7/20 (T)	DS Smith Paper Limited & Others v MAN SE & Others
1355/5/7/20 (T)	Hertz Autovermietung GmbH & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1356/5/7/20 (T)	Balfour Beatty Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. (formerly Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.) & Others
1358/5/7/20 (T)	Zamenhof Exploitation & Others v Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V. & Others
1360/5/7/20 (T)	BFS Group Limited & Another v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1361/5/7/20 (T)	Enterprise Rent-a-Car UK Limited v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1362/5/7/20 (T)	ABF Grain Products Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1368/5/7/20 (T)	LafargeHolcim Limited & Others v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ) & Others
1371/5/7/20 (T)	The BOC Group Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1372/5/7/20 (T)	GIST Limited & Others v Stellantis N.V. & Others
1417/5/7/21 (T)	Dan Ryan Truck Rental Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1420/5/7/21 (T)	A to Z Catering Supplies Limited & Others v DAF Trucks Limited & Others
1431/5/7/22 (T)	Adur District Council & Others v TRATON SE & Others
1521/5/7/22 (T)	Wm Morrison Supermarkets PLC & Others v Volvo Group UK Limited & Others
1578/5/7/23 (T)	Asda & Others v AB Volvo & Others
1594/5/7/23 (T)	GAP Group Limited and Another v DAF Trucks Limited and Others
1610/5/7/23 (T)	Rowleys of Northwich Limited and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1607/5/7/23 (T)	Wincanton Holdings Limited and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1608/5/7/23 (T)	Adnams PLC and others v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1609/5/7/23 (T)	SP0117 Limited (as Assignee) and another v DAF Trucks Limited and others
1616/5/7/23 (T)	Boots & Others v. Traton & Others
1633/5/7/24	Tesco Stores Limited & anor v Scania (Great Britain) limited & others
Cases in Northern Ireland	
1536/5/7/22 (T)	C Faulkner & Sons v Aktiebolaget Volvo (Publ)
18/78144	JH Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited -v- AB Volvo
20/22730	McHugh's Oil Limited -v- AB Volvo
18/33243	Niall McCann trading as NMC Haulage -v- AB Volvo

20/41004	Cynthia Beattie t/a Beattie Transport -v- AB Volvo
1674/5/7/24 (T)	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V.
1675/5/7/24 (T)	Gibson Bros Limited –v- DAF Trucks N.V.
1676/5/7/24 (T)	Joseph Walls Ltd –v- DAF Trucks NV
1677/5/7/24 (T)	M.G. Oils Limited–v- DAF Trucks NV
1678/5/7/24 (T)	J.K.C. Specialist Cars Limited–v- DAF Trucks NV
1679/5/7/24 (T)	G.P. Marketing Limited trading as Patterson Oil –v- DAF Trucks NV
1680/5/7/24 (T)	J.H. Irwin & Son (Fuels) Limited –v- DAF Trucks NV
1681/5/7/24 (T)	Trevor Leckey t/a Stoneyford Concrete –v- DAF Trucks NV
20/58982	Derek O’Reilly t/a O’Reilly’s The Sweet People -v- Daimler AG
20/58998	Patrick Megoran -v- Daimler AG
20/58974	Stephen Pollard -v- Daimler AG
1682/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Daimler AG
20/58984	Andrew Ingredients Ltd -v- Daimler AG
18/78073	Kieran Quinn t/a Pomeroy Haulage -v- Daimler AG
20/58977	J.C. Campbell (N.I.) Limited -v- Daimler AG
1683/5/7/24 (T)	R Magowan & Son Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1684/5/7/24 (T)	C. Russell Auto Sales Ltd -v- Iveco S.P.A
1685/5/7/24 (T)	Kennedy & Morrison Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
1686/5/7/24 (T)	Niall McCann t/a NMC Haulage -v- Iveco S.P.A
1687/5/7/24 (T)	John Rodgers Limited -v- Iveco S.P.A
Cases in Scotland	
1538/5/7/22 (T)	Clackmannanshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1539/5/7/22 (T)	Angus Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1540/5/7/22 (T)	East Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1541/5/7/22 (T)	The City of Edinburgh Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1542/5/7/22 (T)	East Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1543/5/7/22 (T)	East Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1544/5/7/22 (T)	Fife Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1545/5/7/22 (T)	Midlothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1546/5/7/22 (T)	Glasgow City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1547/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee City Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1548/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Water v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1549/5/7/22 (T)	West Lothian Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1550/5/7/22 (T)	Perth & Kinross Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1551/5/7/22 (T)	Stirling Council v VFS Financial Services Limited & Others
1552/5/7/22 (T)	Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1553/5/7/22 (T)	South Ayrshire Council V VFS & Others

1554/5/7/22 (T)	The North Ayrshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1555/5/7/22 (T)	Western Isles Council v VFS Financial Services & Others
1556/5/7/22 (T)	West Dunbartonshire Council v VFS Financial Services
1557/5/7/22 (T)	North Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd
1558/5/7/22 (T)	Scottish Borders Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1559/5/7/22 (T)	Dundee CC & Others t/a Tayside Contracts v VFS FS Ltd & Others
1560/5/7/22 (T)	Aberdeenshire Council v VFS Financial Services Ltd & Others
1561/5/7/22 (T)	Argyll and Bute Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1562/5/7/22 (T)	East Renfrewshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1563/5/7/22 (T)	South Lanarkshire Council v VFS Financial Services Limited
1564/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy (Processing Ltd) v CNH Industrial
1565/5/7/22 (T)	Grahams The Family Dairy Ltd v CNH Industrial N.V.
1566/5/7/22 (T)	Graham's Dairies Limited v CNH Industrial N.V

ANNEX 2: OVERVIEW OF THE PARTIES

Definition	Description
The Arla Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1296/5/7/18
The Edwin Coe Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1338/5/7/20 (T), 1417/5/7/21 (T), 1420/5/7/21 (T) and 1594/5/7/23 (T).
The Asda Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1578/5/7/23 (T).
The DS Smith Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1343/5/7/20 (T).
The Adur Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1431/5/7/22 (T).
The Boots Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1616/5/7/23 (T).
The Hausfeld Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1355/5/7/20 (T), 1356/5/7/20 (T), 1358/5/7/20 (T), 1371/5/7/20 (T) and 1372/5/7/20 (T).
The BCLP Claimants	The Claimants in Case Nos: 1360/5/7/20 (T), 1361/5/7/20 (T) and 1362/5/7/20 (T)
The LafargeHolcim Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1368/5/7/20 (T).
The Morrisons Claimants	The Claimants in Case No: 1521/5/7/22 (T)
The Northern Irish Plaintiffs	The Plaintiffs in cases filed in Northern Ireland as set out in Annex 1.
The Scottish Pursuers	The Pursuers in cases filed in Scotland as set out in Annex 1.
The Defendants	The Defendant Manufacturing Groups of DAF, MAN, Iveco, Volvo/Renault, Daimler and Scania in relation to the cases filed in England and Wales.

Appendix A: “Disagreed” requests in SPO Documents

Truck Rental Targeted Claimants

2.5(5) – Salford Van Hire, Rowleys. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

4.3. – Alltruck, Salford Van Hire, Rowleys. Tribunal Decision: No decision at present. There should be further discussions/meetings between the experts to seek agreement or a compromise position.

4.3.1 – MC Rental. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

5.3 – Alltruck, Salford Van Hire, MC Rental. Tribunal Decision: No decision at present. There should be further discussions/meetings between the experts to seek agreement or a compromise position.

6.1 – Salford Van Hire, Rowleys. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.2 –Salford Van Hire, Rowleys, MC Rental. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.3 –Salford Van Hire, Rowleys, MC Rental. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.3.2 – Salford Van Hire. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.4 – Salford Van Hire, MC Rental. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.4.1 – Salford Van Hire. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.4(5) – Rowleys. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.5 – Salford Van Hire, Rowleys, MC Rental, Alltruck. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

Haulier Targeted Claimants

2.9.1 – Wincanton. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

5.4 – Wincanton. Tribunal Decision: Granted.

6.2 – Harlex Haulage. Tribunal Decision: Refused.

Manufacturer Targeted Claimants

2.10 – Blakemore, Moy Park. Tribunal Decision: No decision at present. There should be further discussions/meetings between the experts to seek agreement or a compromise position.

Retailer Targeted Claimants

6.3 – Currys. Tribunal Decision: No decision at present. There should be further discussions/meetings between the experts to seek agreement or a compromise position.

6.2 – Morrisons. Tribunal Decision: No decision at present. There should be further discussions/meetings between the experts to seek agreement or a compromise position.