

IN THE COMPETITION
APPEAL TRIBUNAL

Victoria House,
Bloomsbury Place,
London WC1A 2EB

Case No. 1046/2/4/04
1034/2/4/04(IR)
1042/2/4/04

8 January 2007

Before:
SIR CHRISTOPHER BELLAMY
(The President)

Sitting as a Tribunal in England and Wales

BETWEEN:

ALBION WATER LIMITED

and

WATER LEVEL LIMITED

Appellants

supported by

AQUAVITAE (UK) LIMITED

Intervener

- v -

WATER SERVICES REGULATION AUTHORITY
(formerly the **Director General of Water Services**)

Respondent

supported by

(1) **DŴR CYMRU CYFYNGEDIG**

and

(2) **UNITED UTILITIES WATER PLC**

Interveners

AND

BETWEEN:

ALBION WATER LIMITED

and

WATERLEVEL LIMITED

Appellants

- v -

WATER SERVICES REGULATION AUTHORITY
(formerly the **Director General of Water Services**)

Respondent

supported by

THAMES WATER UTILITIES LIMITED

Intervener

PROCEEDINGS AFTER JUDGEMENTS HANDED DOWN

APPEARANCES

Dr. Jeremy Bryan, Managing Director of Albion Water Limited, appeared on behalf of the Appellant.

Miss Valentina Sloane (instructed by the Head of Legal Services, Water Services Regulation Authority) appeared on behalf of the Respondent.

Suyong Kim of Wilmer Hale appeared for Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig.

Mr. Fergus Randolph appeared on behalf of United Utilities.

Transcribed from the Shorthand notes of
Beverley F. Nunnery & Co.
Official Shorthand Writers and Tape Transcribers
Quality House, Quality Court, Chancery Lane, London WC2A 1HP
Tel: 020 7831 5627 Fax: 020 7831 7737

1 THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is handing down today two Judgments on costs: one in case
2 1046/2/4/04 – Albion Water Limited v Water Services Regulation Authority (the “Shotton”
3 case); and the other in case 1042/2/4/04, a Judgment on costs in the “Bath House” case.
4 The Tribunal proposes to abridge the time for appealing either of these Judgments (in the event
5 that any Appeal is being considered) to 26th January 2007 at 5 p.m. in conformity with the
6 existing time for appealing in the main 1046 case. We note also that these two Judgments do
7 not concern any points of competition law and therefore it seems to us that the time for
8 appealing in the High Court, namely 14 days, is equally appropriate for these two cases.
9 Unless there are any other applications or observations the hearing is adjourned.
10 _____